Global Dialogues in Humanities and Pedagogy

Developing a Multicultural Pedagogy Module for Refugee Education

[1]Lena Hoffmann
[1]Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

[2]Yusuf Al-Hassan
[2]Free University of Berlin, Germany

Corresponding Author: l.hoffmann@hu-berlin.de

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This study documents the development and pilot implementation of a multicultural pedagogy module designed to enhance educational outcomes for refugee students in German schools. Drawing on participatory design research involving 28 educators, 15 refugee students, and 8 curriculum specialists across three German cities, the research addresses the pedagogical challenges teachers face when working with culturally and linguistically diverse refugee populations. The module integrates culturally responsive teaching principles, trauma-informed practices, and language acquisition strategies tailored to refugee contexts. Development occurred through iterative cycles of design, implementation, and refinement based on stakeholder feedback. The findings reveal three critical dimensions: the foundational principles necessary for effective multicultural pedagogy in refugee education, the practical instructional strategies that support refugee student learning and integration, and the systemic challenges and enablers affecting module implementation. Pilot testing demonstrated improved teacher confidence in multicultural instruction, enhanced refugee student engagement, and positive shifts in classroom climate. However, implementation faced barriers including limited preparation time, inadequate institutional support, and insufficient resources for differentiated instruction.

The study contributes frameworks and practical tools for developing culturally responsive pedagogies in contexts of forced migration.

INTRODUCTION

The unprecedented influx of refugees to Germany since 2015 has fundamentally transformed the demographic composition of schools, creating urgent demands for pedagogical approaches that effectively serve students from diverse cultural, linguistic, and experiential backgrounds. Between 2015 and 2020, Germany received over 1.8 million asylum applications, with significant proportions involving school-age children and youth who entered educational systems with varied prior schooling experiences, German language proficiency levels, and trauma exposures related to conflict, displacement, and migration journeys. Banks (2015) emphasizes that demographic diversity requires more than simple inclusion of students from different backgrounds; it demands fundamental reconceptualization of curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional practices to ensure equitable educational opportunities for all learners. German schools, traditionally structured around relatively homogeneous student populations with shared cultural references and language backgrounds, face substantial challenges adapting to serve refugee students whose educational needs differ markedly from those of majority-culture students.

Multicultural pedagogy offers theoretical and practical frameworks for addressing educational diversity in ways that honor students' cultural identities while supporting academic achievement and social integration. Gay (2018) defines culturally responsive teaching as using the cultural knowledge, prior experiences, frames of reference, and performance styles of ethnically diverse students to make learning encounters more relevant and effective. This approach recognizes that culture profoundly shapes how students learn, communicate, and make meaning, requiring teachers to understand their students' cultural backgrounds and adapt instruction accordingly. Nieto and Bode (2018) extend this perspective by emphasizing that multicultural education must address not only cultural differences but also structural inequalities, power relations, and social justice concerns that affect marginalized students' educational experiences. For refugee students, multicultural pedagogy must navigate particularly complex terrain involving language barriers, interrupted schooling, trauma impacts, and navigation of unfamiliar educational systems and cultural norms.

The German educational context presents both opportunities and challenges for implementing multicultural pedagogies. Germany's federal education system grants significant autonomy to individual states (Länder) in curriculum decisions, resulting in substantial variation in policies and practices regarding refugee student education. Massumi et al. (2015) document how different German states employ

diverse approaches to refugee student integration, ranging from separate preparatory classes focused on German language acquisition to immediate mainstreaming with language support. This variation creates both flexibility for context-responsive practices and challenges for developing systematic pedagogical frameworks applicable across contexts. The German teaching force remains predominantly monocultural and monolingual, with limited diversity reflecting the country's relatively recent shift to acknowledging itself as an immigration society. Karakaşoğlu (2011) notes that most German teachers received pre-service preparation assuming culturally homogeneous classrooms, leaving them inadequately equipped for the cultural and linguistic diversity they now encounter.

Refugee students bring distinct educational needs and strengths that require specialized pedagogical consideration beyond general multicultural approaches. Many refugee students have experienced educational interruption, with some arriving in Germany having missed years of schooling due to conflict or displacement. Others possess strong academic backgrounds from their origin countries but face challenges transferring credentials and navigating different educational structures and expectations. Taylor and Sidhu (2012) emphasize that refugee students' educational experiences are profoundly shaped by pre-migration, migration, and post-migration factors including trauma exposure, family separation, uncertain legal status, and resettlement stresses. Effective pedagogy must address not only academic content but also social-emotional needs, identity negotiation, and development of sense of belonging in new educational communities. The trauma-informed education literature emphasizes that refugee students may exhibit learning and behavioral challenges stemming from adverse experiences rather than lack of ability or motivation, requiring teachers to understand trauma impacts and employ supportive rather than punitive responses.

Language acquisition represents both critical need and significant challenge for refugee students in German schools. Most refugee students arrive with limited or no German language proficiency, yet they must simultaneously learn academic content while developing language skills across listening, speaking, reading, and writing domains. Cummins (2008) distinguishes between basic interpersonal communication skills (BICS) and cognitive academic language proficiency (CALP), noting that while students may acquire conversational fluency relatively quickly, developing the sophisticated academic language necessary for school success requires sustained, focused instruction over five to seven years. German language presents particular challenges due to grammatical complexity including case systems, gender marking, and intricate syntax. Refugee students must navigate these linguistic demands while maintaining development in their home languages, which research demonstrates supports rather than impedes second language acquisition and cognitive development.

Cultural identity and belonging emerge as crucial considerations in refugee education, with pedagogical approaches significantly influencing whether students experience school as affirming or alienating. Refugee students navigate complex

identity negotiations as they maintain connections to their cultures of origin while developing competencies and relationships in their new contexts. Berry (1997) describes acculturation strategies ranging from integration (maintaining heritage culture while engaging with host culture) to assimilation (abandoning heritage culture in favor of host culture), with integration associated with most positive psychological and academic outcomes. Pedagogical approaches that recognize and value students' cultural backgrounds, incorporate diverse perspectives, and create space for identity expression support integration, whereas approaches that position refugee students as deficient and require cultural abandonment promote assimilation or marginalization. Refugee students' sense of belonging in school communities significantly affects their engagement, persistence, and achievement.

Teacher preparation and professional development represent critical leverage points for improving refugee education but remain inadequately addressed in German contexts. Most German teachers report feeling unprepared to effectively teach refugee students, lacking both knowledge about students' backgrounds and practical pedagogical strategies for diverse classrooms. Sharma (2020) demonstrates that teacher preparation focused on multicultural and refugee education significantly improves teachers' self-efficacy, instructional practices, and student outcomes. However, such preparation remains optional rather than mandatory in most German teacher education programs, and practicing teachers receive limited access to high-quality professional development addressing multicultural pedagogy. The development of practical, evidence-based resources and training modules represents an urgent priority for supporting teachers to effectively serve refugee student populations.

This study addresses the critical need for culturally responsive pedagogical frameworks in German refugee education through participatory development of a comprehensive multicultural pedagogy module. While existing literature documents challenges refugee students face and advocates for culturally responsive approaches, fewer studies provide concrete, contextualized pedagogical resources developed through collaboration with teachers working directly with refugee populations. By employing participatory design research that centers educators' and students' knowledge and experiences, this study generates practical tools grounded in the realities of German classrooms while contributing to theoretical understanding of effective multicultural pedagogy in contexts of forced migration. The findings offer guidance for teacher educators, school administrators, and policymakers seeking to strengthen educational responses to the ongoing reality of refugee student populations in German schools and similar contexts across Europe and beyond.

METHOD

This study employed participatory design-based research to develop and refine a multicultural pedagogy module for refugee education in Germany. Design-based research, as articulated by McKenney and Reeves (2012), involves iterative cycles of analysis, design, evaluation, and revision aimed at developing educational

innovations while simultaneously advancing theoretical understanding of learning processes and contexts. The participatory dimension positioned educators, refugee students, and curriculum specialists as co-designers rather than research subjects, recognizing that those closest to educational practice possess essential expertise for developing effective and contextually appropriate interventions. The research unfolded across three phases over 18 months: needs analysis and initial design, pilot implementation and formative evaluation, and revision and validation. Participants included 28 teachers from 12 schools across Berlin, Hamburg, and Frankfurt serving significant refugee student populations, 15 refugee students aged 14-18 representing diverse countries of origin including Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq, Somalia, and Eritrea, and 8 curriculum specialists with expertise in multicultural education, language acquisition, and refugee pedagogy.

Data collection employed multiple methods aligned with design-based research principles (Muhsyanur et al., 2021). The needs analysis phase involved focus groups with teachers exploring challenges they faced teaching refugee students and desired pedagogical support, surveys assessing teachers' knowledge and confidence regarding multicultural pedagogy, and interviews with refugee students about their educational experiences and needs. Design phase activities included collaborative workshops where participants co-created module components, iterative prototyping of instructional materials and strategies, and expert review by curriculum specialists. The pilot implementation phase involved classroom observations documenting module use across 18 classrooms, teacher reflection journals capturing implementation experiences and adaptations, and student focus groups examining learning experiences and outcomes. According to Barab and Squire (2004), design-based research's commitment to studying interventions in authentic contexts rather than controlled settings enables development of ecologically valid solutions responsive to real-world complexity. Data analysis followed iterative qualitative procedures including thematic analysis of interview and focus group transcripts, content analysis of teacher journals and student work samples, and pattern identification across data sources to inform successive design refinements. The research team maintained detailed documentation of design decisions and their rationales, creating audit trails supporting credibility and transferability. Ethical approval was obtained from participating universities and school districts, with particular attention to informed consent procedures and confidentiality protections for refugee participants given their potentially vulnerable status.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Foundational Principles for Multicultural Pedagogy in Refugee Contexts

The participatory design process revealed five foundational principles essential for effective multicultural pedagogy in refugee education contexts: asset-based orientation, trauma-informed practice, linguistic scaffolding, cultural bridge-building, and student voice and agency. Teachers consistently emphasized the

importance of shifting from deficit perspectives that position refugee students as lacking knowledge and skills to asset-based approaches recognizing the linguistic, cultural, and experiential resources students bring. One teacher explained: "When I started viewing my refugee students' multilingualism as an advantage rather than a problem, and their life experiences as rich knowledge rather than deficits, my whole teaching changed. I began finding ways to draw on what they know rather than focusing only on what they don't know yet." This asset orientation aligns with Yosso's (2005) cultural wealth framework, which identifies diverse forms of capital including linguistic, navigational, and resistant capital that marginalized students possess but that traditional schooling often fails to recognize or value.

Trauma-informed practice emerged as a crucial principle given that many refugee students have experienced potentially traumatic events including violence exposure, family separation, and dangerous migration journeys. Teachers described how trauma impacts manifested in classrooms through concentration difficulties, hypervigilance, emotional dysregulation, and avoidance behaviors that could easily be misinterpreted as disengagement or defiance. The module incorporated trauma-informed principles including creating safe and predictable classroom environments, building trusting relationships, providing choices and control, and understanding behavior through a trauma lens. Bath (2008) emphasizes that trauma-informed education requires recognizing that challenging behaviors often represent adaptive survival responses rather than intentional misbehavior, shifting intervention from punishment to support. One teacher noted: "Understanding trauma helped me see that when Ahmed puts his head down and won't participate, it's not because he doesn't care about learning. Sometimes he's overwhelmed and needs space to regulate before he can engage."

Linguistic scaffolding constituted a central principle recognizing that refugee students' limited German proficiency does not reflect limited intellectual capability or content knowledge. The module emphasized providing multiple entry points and supports including visual representations, hands-on activities, translated key terms, multilingual resources, and opportunities for home language use. Teachers learned to distinguish between simplifying language and simplifying content, making sophisticated ideas accessible through careful linguistic scaffolding rather than reducing cognitive demand. Gibbons (2015) demonstrates that effective language scaffolding simultaneously challenges students and provides support, creating what Vygotsky termed the zone of proximal development where learning optimally occurs. Student participants strongly affirmed the importance of linguistic support, with one Syrian student explaining: "When teachers use pictures and let me work with Arabic-speaking classmates sometimes, I can show what I know even though my German is still developing. I'm smart in Arabic, and I'm becoming smart in German too."

Cultural bridge-building involved creating connections between students' cultural backgrounds and curriculum content while also supporting navigation of German educational culture and expectations. Teachers developed strategies

including incorporating diverse cultural examples and perspectives into lessons, validating students' cultural knowledge and practices, and explicitly teaching unstated cultural norms of German schools. This principle recognizes what Ladson-Billings (1995) describes as culturally relevant pedagogy's dual commitment to cultural competence and critical consciousness, supporting students to maintain cultural identities while developing skills to navigate and critique dominant culture. One module activity involved students mapping cultural connections to curriculum topics, revealing rich knowledge that could be leveraged for learning. A teacher described: "When studying government systems, my Somali students could contribute knowledge about their clan-based governance structures, Syrians discussed their experiences with conflict and authority, and suddenly we had this rich comparative analysis rather than just learning about the German system in isolation."

Student voice and agency emerged as essential for counteracting the powerlessness many refugee students experience in their lives and for ensuring pedagogy responds to their actual needs rather than assumptions. The module incorporated regular opportunities for students to share their perspectives, make choices about learning processes, and contribute to classroom decisions. Refugee student participants emphasized feeling invisible or misunderstood in educational settings where decisions were made about them without their input. One Afghan student reflected: "Teachers sometimes think they know what we need, but they don't ask us. When my teacher started asking what would help me learn better and actually listening to my ideas, I felt like I mattered and became more motivated." This participatory approach aligns with Fielding's (2004) advocacy for students as active agents in educational processes rather than passive recipients of adult-determined interventions. Teachers who embraced this principle reported gaining valuable insights that improved their instruction and deepened relationships with refugee students.

Practical Instructional Strategies Supporting Refugee Student Learning

The multicultural pedagogy module developed through the participatory design process included diverse practical instructional strategies organized across five domains: differentiated instruction, cooperative learning, funds of knowledge integration, multilingual pedagogy, and social-emotional learning integration. Differentiated instruction strategies addressed the reality that refugee students enter German classrooms with tremendously varied prior schooling, content knowledge, literacy levels, and German language proficiency. Teachers learned to design lessons with multiple entry points allowing students at different levels to engage with core concepts through varied pathways. Table 1 presents key differentiation strategies included in the module along with implementation frequency and perceived effectiveness based on pilot testing data. Strategies teachers rated as most effective included providing choice in how students demonstrate learning, using tiered

assignments varying in complexity while addressing common objectives, and incorporating both individual and collaborative work allowing peer support.

Table 1. Differentiation Strategies in the Multicultural Pedagogy Module

Strategy	Description	Implementation Frequency (n=28 teachers)	Mean Effectiveness Rating (1-5 scale)	Primary Benefits Reported
Tiered assignments	Tasks at varying complexity levels addressing common learning objectives	82% regularly	4.3 (SD=0.6)	Appropriate challenge for diverse levels; maintained high expectations
Flexible grouping	Strategic student grouping varying by task, with mixed and similar ability groups	96% regularly	4.5 (SD=0.5)	Peer support; reduced stigma; targeted instruction
Choice boards	Student selection among activity options for demonstrating understanding	64% regularly	4.1 (SD=0.7)	Increased engagement; honored diverse strengths; student agency
Visual scaffolding	Graphic organizers, diagrams, images supporting comprehension	100% regularly	4.7 (SD=0.5)	Language support; concept clarity; accessible entry points
Varied assessments	Multiple formats for demonstrating knowledge (oral, written, visual, performance)	71% regularly	4.4 (SD=0.6)	Fair evaluation; reduced language barriers; multiple intelligences
Supplementary materials	Additional resources at varied levels (simplified texts, audio, translations)	54% regularly	3.9 (SD=0.8)	Differentiated access; independence; home language support

Cooperative learning emerged as particularly valuable for refugee student integration, providing structured opportunities for peer interaction, language practice, and relationship building (Muhsyanur Muhsyanur, 2023). The module

emphasized heterogeneous grouping that intentionally included refugee students with native German speakers rather than isolating them, along with clear role assignments ensuring meaningful participation by all group members. Teachers implemented cooperative structures including think-pair-share, jigsaw activities, and collaborative projects with individual accountability mechanisms. Kagan and Kagan (2009) demonstrate that cooperative learning, when properly structured with positive interdependence and individual accountability, promotes both academic achievement and intercultural relationships. Student participants strongly valued cooperative learning opportunities, with one Eritrean student explaining: "Group work helps me practice German in a less scary way than speaking in front of the whole class, and I've made friends with German students who help me and I help them too. We learn from each other."

Funds of knowledge integration involved identifying and incorporating the cultural and experiential knowledge refugee students and their families possess into curriculum and instruction. Teachers conducted home visits or family interviews learning about students' backgrounds, interests, skills, and cultural practices, then designed learning activities drawing on this knowledge. One teacher described a mathematics unit on patterns that incorporated geometric designs from Islamic art, African textiles, and Persian carpets, allowing refugee students to contribute cultural expertise while learning mathematical concepts. Another designed a biology unit on adaptation that included student research on plants and animals from their countries of origin, creating opportunities for students to apply content knowledge while sharing cultural knowledge. This approach operationalizes Moll et al.'s (1992) funds of knowledge framework, which positions families' cultural practices and knowledge as valuable resources for learning rather than obstacles to overcome. Teachers reported that funds of knowledge integration increased refugee student engagement while also educating all students and challenging stereotypes.

Multilingual pedagogy strategies recognized students' home languages as resources supporting rather than impeding German language and content learning (Ahmad Nur, Muhsyanur Muhsyanur, 2024). The module included translanguaging approaches allowing strategic use of multiple languages in classroom discourse, bilingual resources including dictionaries and translated materials, and home language literacy development activities. Teachers learned to view code-switching not as language confusion but as sophisticated multilingual practice. García and Wei (2014) demonstrate that translanguaging—the flexible use of linguistic resources from named languages—supports content learning, conceptual development, and bilingual identity. One teacher described: "I started allowing my Arabic-speaking students to discuss science concepts in Arabic first, then work on expressing ideas in German. Their Arabic discussions were sophisticated and showed deep thinking that then transferred to German rather than waiting until their German was perfect to think complexly." Students overwhelmingly affirmed the value of home language support, with many describing how understanding concepts in their first language created foundation for German language learning.

Systemic Challenges and Enablers Affecting Module Implementation

Implementation of the multicultural pedagogy module revealed significant systemic factors influencing teachers' capacity to employ culturally responsive practices with refugee students. Time emerged as the most frequently cited challenge, with teachers describing insufficient planning time to develop differentiated materials, limited class time to implement complex cooperative activities, and inadequate opportunities for professional learning and collaboration. Germany's traditional school structure, with teachers typically responsible for multiple subjects and large class sizes, creates substantial workload that teachers reported made implementing the module's strategies feel overwhelming despite perceiving them as valuable. One teacher lamented: "I believe in this approach and see it working, but creating tiered assignments for three different levels, gathering multilingual resources, and planning cooperative activities takes hours I simply don't have with everything else I'm responsible for." This tension between pedagogical ideals and practical constraints reflects broader challenges in educational reform implementation documented by Fullan (2016), who emphasizes that successful change requires not merely introducing new practices but restructuring conditions enabling their sustainable use.

Institutional support from school leadership significantly influenced implementation success, with teachers in schools where administrators actively championed multicultural pedagogy, allocated resources, and provided protected collaboration time demonstrating more comprehensive and sustained module use. Supportive leadership actions included funding multilingual materials, scheduling common planning time for teachers serving refugee students, arranging professional development opportunities, and establishing school policies affirming cultural diversity. Conversely, teachers in schools lacking administrative support described feeling isolated in their efforts, struggling to obtain necessary resources, and facing implicit or explicit pressure to prioritize standardized test preparation over culturally responsive pedagogy. Hallinger and Heck (2010) demonstrate that leadership profoundly shapes instructional improvement through establishing vision, allocating resources, and creating professional learning communities. One teacher in a supportive school environment described: "Our principal made multicultural pedagogy a school-wide priority. She arranged for all teachers to receive training, purchased multilingual books for our library, and celebrates when we share successful strategies. It's not just me trying to do this alone."

Material resources, particularly multilingual and culturally relevant instructional materials, represented another significant implementation factor. Teachers reported difficulty locating German-language textbooks and materials that included diverse cultural perspectives and examples rather than presenting exclusively German or European content. Even more challenging was finding materials in refugee students' home languages to support transitional bilingual

instruction. Several teachers described spending personal funds to purchase multilingual children's books or creating their own translated materials, efforts that proved unsustainable long-term. The module development process included creating a curated resource database and sample materials, but teachers emphasized need for systematic development and distribution of culturally inclusive and multilingual educational resources. Nieto and Bode (2018) argue that curriculum materials function as hidden curriculum communicating whose knowledge and experiences matter, making inclusive materials essential for multicultural pedagogy rather than supplementary.

Teacher collaboration and professional learning communities emerged as powerful enablers supporting implementation despite systemic challenges. Teachers who participated in regular collaborative meetings with colleagues also teaching refugee students reported feeling less isolated, generating creative solutions to shared challenges, and sustaining motivation through difficult moments. These communities functioned as what Wenger (1998) terms communities of practice, where shared enterprise and mutual engagement create collective learning exceeding individual capacity. One particularly effective collaboration involved teachers across subjects coordinating to reinforce language and content, with the science teacher introducing vocabulary and concepts that the language teacher then reinforced, creating coherent rather than fragmented learning experiences. A teacher reflected: "Meeting with other teachers serving refugee students has been invaluable. We share materials, troubleshoot problems, celebrate successes, and remind each other why this work matters when we get discouraged. I learn more from my colleagues than from any workshop." These findings underscore that effective multicultural pedagogy requires not merely individual teacher skill development but creation of collaborative professional cultures supporting ongoing learning and innovation.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that developing effective multicultural pedagogy for refugee education in Germany requires integrating foundational principles including asset-based orientation, trauma-informed practice, linguistic scaffolding, cultural bridge-building, and student voice with practical instructional strategies spanning differentiation, cooperative learning, funds of knowledge integration, multilingual pedagogy, and social-emotional learning support. The participatory design approach proved essential for creating a module responsive to the complex realities teachers and refugee students navigate daily, generating practical tools grounded in authentic classroom contexts while advancing theoretical understanding of culturally responsive pedagogy in forced migration contexts. Implementation findings reveal that teacher capacity to employ multicultural pedagogy depends not solely on individual knowledge and skills but critically on systemic factors including time allocation, administrative support, material resources, and collaborative professional structures. These results have important implications for German

educational policy and practice, suggesting that supporting refugee student success requires comprehensive approaches addressing curriculum and pedagogy, institutional structures and resources, and teacher preparation and professional development. The multicultural pedagogy module developed through this research offers a transferable framework adaptable to diverse contexts while requiring contextualization to specific student populations, institutional settings, and cultural environments across Germany and similar refugee-receiving contexts internationally.

REFERENCES

Banks, J. A. (2015). *Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching* (6th ed.). Routledge.

Barab, S., & Squire, K. (2004). Design-based research: Putting a stake in the ground. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 13*(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls1301_1

Bath, H. (2008). The three pillars of trauma-informed care. *Reclaiming Children and Youth, 17*(3), 17-21.

Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 46*(1), 5-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.1997.tb01087.x

Cummins, J. (2008). BICS and CALP: Empirical and theoretical status of the distinction. In B. Street & N. H. Hornberger (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of language and education* (2nd ed., Vol. 2, pp. 71-83). Springer.

Fielding, M. (2004). Transformative approaches to student voice: Theoretical underpinnings, recalcitrant realities. *British Educational Research Journal, 30*(2), 295-311. https://doi.org/10.1080/0141192042000195236

Fullan, M. (2016). *The new meaning of educational change* (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.

García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.

Gibbons, P. (2015). *Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning: Teaching English language learners in the mainstream classroom* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.

Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership & Management, 30*(2), 95-110. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632431003663214

Kagan, S., & Kagan, M. (2009). *Kagan cooperative learning*. Kagan Publishing.

Karakaşoğlu, Y. (2011). Teachers and diversity in Germany: Policy and practice of teacher education and training in dealing with ethnic and cultural diversity. In J. Phillion, M. T. Hue, & Y. Wang (Eds.), *Minority students in East Asia: Government policies, school practices and teacher responses* (pp. 111-133). Routledge.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal, 32*(3), 465-491. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465

Massumi, M., von Dewitz, N., Grießbach, J., Terhart, H., Wagner, K., Hippmann, K., & Altinay, L. (2015). *Newly arrived migrant children and young people in the German education system.* Mercator Institute for Literacy and Language Education.

McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2012). *Conducting educational design research.* Routledge.

Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory Into Practice, 31*(2), 132-141. https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849209543534

Ahmad Nur, Muhsyanur Muhsyanur, U. U. (2024). *Multicultural education values in society: an ethoneducational approach.*

Muhsyanur Muhsyanur. (2023). The Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning on Critical Thinking Skills in Indonesian Language Education. *TRICKS: Journal of Education and Learning Practices, 1*(3), 12–23. https://journal.echaprogres.or.id/index.php/tricks/article/view/36

Muhsyanur, Rahmatullah, A. S., Misnawati, Dumiyati, & Ghufron, S. (2021). The Effectiveness of "Facebook" As Indonesian Language Learning Media for Elementary School Student: Distance Learning Solutions in the Era of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Multicultural Education, 7*(04), 38–47. https://www.mccaddogap.com/ojs/index.php/me/article/view/8%0Ahttps://www.mccaddogap.com/ojs/index.php/me/article/download/8/10

Nieto, S., & Bode, P. (2018). *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (7th ed.). Pearson.

Sharma, S. (2020). From chaos to clarity: Using the research-practice cycle as a method for effective professional development. *Professional Development in Education, 46*(5), 767-786. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2019.1667412

Taylor, S., & Sidhu, R. K. (2012). Supporting refugee students in schools: What constitutes inclusive education? *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 16*(1), 39-56. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603110903560085

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity.* Cambridge University Press.

Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race Ethnicity and Education, 8*(1), 69-91. https://doi.org/10.1080/1361332052000341006